International application No.

PCT/US04/22594

A. CLAS	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER C07H 19/00( 2006.01),21/02( 2006.01),21/04( 2006	6.01)	
USPC: 536/22.1,24.3,24.31 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC			
B. FIEL	DS SEARCHED		
	cumentation searched (classification system followed b 36/22.1, 24.3, 24.31	y classification symbols)	
Documentation	on searched other than minimum documentation to the	extent that such documents are included in	the fields searched
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) Please See Continuation Sheet			
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ap	ppropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 2002/0090624 A1 (BLUMENBERG) 11 July 200 document, especially tables 2 and 5.	02 (11.07.2002), see the entire	1-4,7-9,49
Y	Database, Entrez Nucleotide Database Entry for XM_051900, 27 August 2001, see entire 1-4,7-9,49 document.		1-4,7-9,49
Y	Database, Entrez Nucleotide Database Entry for XM_031289, 27 August 2001, see entire document.		1-4,7-9,49
			.*
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.	
"A" document	pecial categories of cited documents:  defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of relevance	"T' later document published after the inter- date and not in conflict with the applica principle or theory underlying the inven	ition but cited to understand the
"E" earlier ap	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the cl considered novel or cannot be considered when the document is taken alone	
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		"Y" document of particular relevance; the cl considered to involve an inventive step combined with one or more other such	when the document is
"O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	being obvious to a person skilled in the	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed		"&" document member of the same patent fa	amily
Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing		Date of mailing of the international search	report
09 May 2008 (09.05.2008)		3 9 MAY 2008	2
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		Daniel M. Sullivan Telephone No. 703-308-0196	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (April 2007)

International application No.

PCT/US04/22594

Box No. 1 Nucleonde and/or amino acid sequence(s) (Continuation of item 1.c of the first sneet)			
1. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international search was carried out on the basis of:  a. type of material			
u.	a sequence listing		
	table(s) related to the sequence listing		
b.	format of material		
	on paper		
	in electronic form		
c.	time of filing/furnishing		
	contained in the international application as filed		
	filed together with the international application in electronic form		
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search		
2.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
3.	Additional comments:		
•			

International application No.

PCT/US04/22594

Box	No. II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)	
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:			
1.		Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:	
2.		Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:	
3.		Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).	
Box	No. III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)	
		onal Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: ontinuation Sheet	
1.		As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.	
2.		As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying additional fees, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fees.	
3.		As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.: 1-4,7-9 and 49	
4.		No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:	
Rema	ark on I	Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest and, where applicable, the payment of a protest fee.	
		The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid within the time limit specified in the invitation.	
		No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(2)) (April 2007)

International application No. PCT/US04/22594

## BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 In order for all inventions to be searched, the appropriate additional search fees must be paid.

Group 1, claims 1-4, 7-9, 49, all-in-part, drawn to a panel of biomarkers comprising SEQ ID NOS 1 and 2 and a method for measuring the level of SEQ ID NOS 1 and 2.

Group 2, claims 1-4, 7-9, 49, all-in-part, drawn to a panel of biomarkers comprising at least two of SEQ ID Nos 1-5, other than a panel comprising SEQ ID NOS 1 and 2 alone, wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 3, claims 10-13, 16-18, all-in-part, drawn to a panel of biomarkers comprising at least two of SEQ ID Nos 1-5 and at least one of SEQ ID NOS 6-14, wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 4, claims 19-22, 25-27, all-in-part, drawn to a panel of biomarkers comprising at least two of SEQ ID Nos 1-5 and at least one of SEQ ID NOS 6-14 and at least one of SEQ ID NOS 15-22, wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 5, claims 28-29, 32-34, all-in-part, drawn to a panel of biomarkers comprising at least two of SEQ ID Nos 23-27, wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 6, claims 35-36, 39-41, all-in-part, drawn to a panel of biomarkers comprising at least two of SEQ ID Nos 23-27 and at least one of SEQ ID NOS 28-36, wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 7, claims 42-43, 46-48, all-in-part, drawn to a panel of biomarkers comprising at least two of SEQ ID Nos 23-27 and at least one of SEQ ID NOS 37-44, wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 8, claims 49, 53-64, all-in-part, 52-in-part as it is drawn to the searched invention, drawn to a method for measuring expression levels of polynucleotide biomarkers comprising selecting at least two polynucleotides from SEQ ID NOS 1-5, other than SEQ ID NOS 1 and 2 alone, isolating cellular RNA from the sample, amplifying cDNA and quantifying levels of amplified cDNA, wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 9, claims 49, 50, 51, 53-64, all-in-part, 52-in-part as it is drawn to the searched invention, drawn to a method for measuring expression levels of polynucleotide biomarkers comprising selecting at least two polynucleotides from SEQ ID NOS 1-5 and at least one polynucleotide from SEQ ID NOS 6-14 and at least one polynucleotide from SEQ ID NOS 15-22, isolating cellular RNA from the sample,

International application No. PCT/US04/22594

amplifying cDNA and quantifying levels of amplified cDNA, wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 10, claims 65, 68-78, all-in-part, drawn to a method for measuring expression levels of polypeptide biomarkers comprising selecting at least two polypeptides from SEQ ID NOS 23-27, creating an antibody panel for each biomarker in the panel, binding antibody to polypeptides and quantifying levels of polypeptides bound from the sample to the antibody panel, wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 11, claims 65, 66, 68-78, all-in-part, drawn to a method for measuring expression levels of polypeptide biomarkers comprising selecting at least two polypeptides from SEQ ID NOS 23-27 and at least one polypeptide from SEQ ID NOS 28-36, creating an antibody panel for each biomarker in the panel, binding antibody to polypeptides and quantifying levels of polypeptides bound from the sample to the antibody panel wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 12, claims 65-78, all-in-part, drawn to a method for measuring expression levels of polypeptide biomarkers comprising selecting at least two polypeptides from SEQ ID NOS 23-27 and at least one polypeptide from SEQ ID NOS 28-36 and at least one polypeptide from SEQ ID NOS 27-44, creating an antibody panel for each biomarker in the panel, binding antibody to polypeptides and quantifying levels of polypeptides bound from the sample to the antibody panel wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 13, claims 79-83, 84-in-part, 85-88 drawn to a kit for the determination of colorectal cancer and colorectal polyps comprising at least one reagent that is used in analysis of polynucleotide expression levels comprising at least two sets of primers chosen from SEQ ID NOS 45-50 and instructions for using the kit wherein each combination of sequences to be searched must be identified and additional fees must be paid for each combination of sequences searched.

Group 14, claims 89, 92-95 drawn to a kit for the determination of colorectal cancer and colorectal polyps comprising at least one reagent that is used in analysis of polypeptide expression levels comprising an antibody that binds to a polypeptide and instructions for using the kit.

The inventions listed as Groups 1-14 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

An international stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. When claims to different categories are present in the application, the claims will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories: (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or (2) A product and a process of use of said product; or (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT article 17(3) (a) and 1.476 (c), 37 C.F.R. 1.475(b) and (d). Group I will be the main invention. After that, all other products and methods will be broken out as separate groups (see 37 CFR 1.475(d).)

Group 1 forms a single general inventive concept, that is a panel of biomarkers comprising SEQ ID NOS 1 and 2 and a method for measuring the level of SEQ ID NOS 1 and 2.

Groups 2-14 do not have unity of invention with Group 1 because they are inventions drawn to methods and products that are different than the first invention claimed.

Group 1 is the main invention. After that, all other methods and products not used in that invention have been properly broken out as separate groups (see 37 CFR 1.475(d).) because they are not drawn to the permitted combinations of categories for unity of invention.

Accordingly, Groups 1-14 are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.

# International application No. INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT PCT/US04/22594 Continuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED Item 3: EAST (USPAT, USPGPUB, EPO, JPO, DERWENT), STN (medline, caplus). Search terms: Interleukin 8, prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2, Interleukin 8 receptor B, lipocalin 2, Serum amyloid A1

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
To: HELLER EHRMAN LLP 4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SAN DIEGO, CA 92122-1246		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE	
	INTERNATION	ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
	Date of mailing (day/month/year)	<b>30</b> MAY 2008	
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
26837-2-1PC	161 101 (1 ( )	•	
	ional filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US04/22594 14 July International Patent Classification (IPC) or both na	2004 (14.07.2004)	18 July 2003 (18.07.2003)	
IPC: <b>C07H 19/00</b> ( 2006.01), <b>21/02</b> ( 2006.01), <b>2</b>   USPC: 536/22.1,24.3,24.31	21/04( 2006.01)	·	
Applicant			
NANCY M. LEE			
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the	e following items:		
Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
Box No. II Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain documents ci			
Box No. VII Certain defects in the	international application		
Box No. VIII Certain observations	on the international application		
2. FURTHER ACTION		·	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.			
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA	√220.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Date of completion of this opinion	Authorized officer	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	•	panjel M. Sullivan	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	09 May 2008 (09.05.2008)	//	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 703-308-0196	

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2007)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/22594

Box No	Box No. I Basis of this opinion			
1. With 1	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it was filed			
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).			
2.	This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake authorized by or notified to this			
3. With	Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a)) regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, this opinion has been			
	ished on the basis of:			
a.	type of material			
	a sequence listing			
	table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	CA (moto(c) rotated to the sequence instrug			
b.	format of material			
	on paper			
	in electronic form			
c.	time of filing/furnishing			
	contained in the international application as filed.			
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.			
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
4.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed			
	or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
5. Additi	onal comments:			
	·			

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International	application	No.

PCT/US04/22594

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:  paid additional fees  paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee  paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid  not paid additional fees		
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to		
3.	pay additional fees.  This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is		
	complied with		
	not complied with for the following reasons:		
	See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)		
	$\cdot$		
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:			
	all parts.		
	the parts relating to claims Nos. <u>1-4,7-9 and 49</u>		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. IV) (April 2007)

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/22594

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-4,7-9,49	YES
	Claims NONE	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims <u>1-4,7-9,49</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-4,7-9,49	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

## 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-4, 7-9 and 49 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Blumenberg US Pub. No. 2002/0090624 A1 in view of Entrez Nucleotide database entries for XM\_031289 (2002) and XM\_051900 (2001).

Blumenberg describes a panel of biomarkers including IL-8 (Table 5) and PTGS2 (Table 2) and teaches methods of using the panel to measure expression of the genes in patient samples. Although Blumberg does not specify the sequences of SEQ ID NO: 1 or 2 recited in the instant claims, those sequences were known in the art at the time the invention was filed (See Entrez Nucleotide database entries for XM\_031289 and XM\_051900) and, therefore, using those sequences in a panel of biomarkers does not represent an inventive step with respect to the prior art. In addition, although some claims recite an intended use for the panel of biomarkers and method which is not disclosed in the prior art, the intended use does not impart any patentable distinction on the panel itself and therefore does not distinguish the claims from the prior at.

Claims 1-4, 7-9 and 49 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2007)